

ROLE OF SMALL AND MEDIUM ENTERPRISES IN POVERTY REDUCTION: ANALYZING ENTREPRENEURSHIP'S IMPACT ON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT IN NIGERIA

Phillips Panotani Asaph¹ and Zakariyau Buba²

¹ Adamawa State University, Mubi, Faculty of Social Sciences, Communication and Media Studies, Department of Economics

²Department of Economics, Adamawa State College of Education, Hong

Corresponding Author: panotani786@adsu.edu.ng zakariyaububa@gmail.com²

ABSTRACT

Entrepreneurship plays a critical role in fostering economic development and reducing poverty, particularly in developing countries like Nigeria. This paper examines the contribution of Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) to economic growth and poverty alleviation in Nigeria. SMEs account for a significant share of employment and GDP, serving as engines of innovation, income generation, and inclusive development. The study adopts a mixed-methods approach, combining quantitative and qualitative data to explore the role of SMEs in economic development and poverty reduction in Nigeria. The findings revealed a strong positive correlation between access to finance and business performance ($R^2 = 0.76$, $p < 0.01$), indicating that SMEs with access to credit were more likely to report higher revenues and greater profitability. Specifically, 67% of SMEs with access to credit reported increased revenues over the past year, compared to only 42% of those without access to finance. Despite their potential, SMEs face challenges including limited access to finance, poor infrastructure, regulatory bottlenecks, and inadequate entrepreneurial skills. The paper recommends targeted policy interventions to address these barriers and create an enabling environment for SME growth. Enhancing the SME sector is essential for achieving inclusive economic development and realizing national and global development goals.

Keywords: Entrepreneurship, SMEs, Economic Development, Poverty Reduction, Nigeria

1. Introduction

Entrepreneurship has emerged as a cornerstone for national development strategies in both developed and developing countries. In today's globalized and knowledge-

driven economy, entrepreneurs are not only seen as agents of change but also as key drivers of job creation, technological innovation, and inclusive economic growth (Acs & Szerb, 2010). For a developing country like Nigeria, grappling with structural unemployment, low industrial capacity utilization, and widespread poverty, entrepreneurship provides a strategic tool for economic empowerment and social transformation. Particularly, small and medium enterprises (SMEs), which represent the most vibrant and dynamic segment of the Nigerian economy, offer a significant pathway toward achieving sustainable development and reducing poverty.

According to the World Bank (2023), approximately 41% of Nigerians live below the national poverty line. This translates to over 85 million people experiencing multidimensional poverty, characterized not just by low income but also by limited access to education, healthcare, and decent employment. With a youth population exceeding 60% of the total, Nigeria faces an urgent imperative to create economic opportunities that can absorb the large and growing labor force. In this context, entrepreneurship becomes both a necessity and a strategy for livelihood sustainability. Youths and women, in particular, are turning to entrepreneurial ventures not only to survive but also to provide essential services and generate incomes in underserved communities.

Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) are at the heart of this entrepreneurial wave. SMEs in Nigeria account for over 96% of all businesses, 84% of employment, and 48% of the national GDP (SMEDAN, 2021). These enterprises span across various sectors, including manufacturing, agriculture, trade, and services. They serve as key instruments for job creation, income distribution, community development, and innovation. By providing platforms for self-employment, SMEs help to bridge the economic inclusion gap, enabling people especially those in rural and peri-urban areas to participate meaningfully in the economy. Unlike large corporations that tend to cluster in urban centers, SMEs are often more adaptable, labor-intensive, and better suited to reach underserved populations (Beck & Demirguc-Kunt, 2006).

However, despite the acknowledged importance of entrepreneurship and SMEs in economic discourse, the Nigerian business environment remains one of the most

challenging in sub-Saharan Africa. Numerous constraints continue to stifle the potential of SMEs, ranging from limited access to finance and markets, inadequate infrastructure, poor regulatory frameworks, lack of capacity, and inconsistent government policies (Adebayo & Dada, 2020). Consequently, many SMEs operate informally or fail within the first few years of establishment, limiting their impact on employment and poverty reduction. In fact, the mortality rate for startups and small businesses in Nigeria is among the highest in Africa (PwC Nigeria, 2022).

In recent years, the Nigerian government, in collaboration with development partners, has launched several initiatives to support SMEs and promote entrepreneurship. These include the establishment of the Bank of Industry (BOI), the National Enterprise Development Programme (NEDEP), the YouWin Connect Programme, and more recently, the Nigeria Youth Investment Fund (NYIF). Additionally, financial inclusion strategies and digital innovation platforms such as fintech services are increasingly being leveraged to reach underserved entrepreneurs. However, the impact of these interventions on economic development and poverty reduction remains a subject of ongoing evaluation.

This paper seeks to contribute to this discourse by examining the critical role of entrepreneurship in promoting economic development and alleviating poverty in Nigeria through the instrumentality of SMEs. The central argument is that entrepreneurship, driven by well-supported SMEs, can provide inclusive pathways out of poverty and improve the livelihoods of millions of Nigerians. The paper is structured into five sections. Following this introduction, Section 2 presents the conceptual and theoretical framework underpinning the relationship between entrepreneurship, SMEs, and economic development. Section 3 explores the methodology. Section 4 capture the data presentation and analysis aspect of the paper. Section 5 offers policy recommendations for enhancing the effectiveness of entrepreneurial initiatives.

As Nigeria seeks to diversify its economy away from oil dependency and achieve inclusive growth under its Economic Recovery and Growth Plan (ERGP) and Vision

2050 agenda, unlocking the potential of SMEs through targeted entrepreneurship promotion offers a viable and sustainable path to shared prosperity.

2. Conceptual Framework

Understanding the dynamics between entrepreneurship, economic development, and poverty reduction requires a multidisciplinary perspective that integrates economic, sociological, and institutional insights. This section presents the conceptual underpinnings and theoretical foundations of the study. It covers definitions and classifications of entrepreneurship and SMEs, their nexus with economic development and poverty alleviation, and a discussion of relevant economic theories.

2.1 Defining Entrepreneurship

Entrepreneurship is broadly defined as the process of identifying, evaluating, and exploiting opportunities to introduce new goods or services, methods of production, or markets (Shane & Venkataraman, 2000). Entrepreneurs are seen as innovators, risk-takers, and agents of change who drive the transformation of ideas into economic activities. In the context of developing countries like Nigeria, entrepreneurship often manifests as necessity-based rather than opportunity-driven due to high unemployment and limited social safety nets (Global Entrepreneurship Monitor [GEM], 2021).

Joseph Schumpeter (1934) famously described entrepreneurs as individuals who engage in "creative destruction"—disrupting existing market structures to introduce innovations that stimulate economic development. This view aligns entrepreneurship with technological advancement and long-term economic change.

In Nigeria, entrepreneurship often emerges from informal economic activities, ranging from petty trading and artisanal manufacturing to more structured SMEs. It is crucial to note that not all entrepreneurs are equally impactful; the type and scale of entrepreneurship significantly influence its contribution to development (Naudé, 2010).

2.2 Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs): Definitions and Characteristics

Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) form the backbone of the Nigerian economy. According to the Small and Medium Enterprises Development Agency of Nigeria (SMEDAN) and the National Bureau of Statistics (NBS), SMEs in Nigeria are categorized as follows:

- **Micro Enterprises:** Less than 10 employees, with assets below ₦5 million (excluding land and buildings).
- **Small Enterprises:** Between 10 and 49 employees, and assets between ₦5 million and ₦50 million.
- **Medium Enterprises:** Between 50 and 199 employees, and assets between ₦50 million and ₦500 million.

SMEs in Nigeria are mostly family-owned and operate informally. Despite their small scale, they are critical to domestic value chains and often serve as feeders to larger enterprises. They are particularly dominant in sectors like wholesale and retail trade, agriculture, manufacturing, and services (SMEDAN, 2021).

2.3 Entrepreneurship and Economic Development

Economic development encompasses qualitative improvements in living standards, health, education, and income levels across a population. While economic growth refers to an increase in a country's output, economic development includes broader improvements in well-being and institutional quality (Todaro & Smith, 2020).

Entrepreneurship contributes to economic development through several mechanisms:

- **Job Creation:** SMEs are labor-intensive and absorb large segments of the population, particularly youth and women.
- **Income Generation:** Entrepreneurs generate income for themselves and others, increasing household consumption and savings.
- **Innovation:** Entrepreneurs introduce new products, services, and business models, enhancing productivity and competitiveness.

- **Market Expansion:** Entrepreneurs exploit untapped markets, especially in rural and informal settings.
- **Resource Utilization:** By leveraging local materials and indigenous knowledge, SMEs can transform idle resources into value-added products.

According to Baumol (1990), the direction of entrepreneurial activity whether productive, unproductive, or destructive depends on the institutional framework. In a well-regulated environment, entrepreneurship fosters economic development; in weak institutional settings, it may contribute to rent-seeking or corruption.

2.4 Entrepreneurship and Poverty Reduction

Poverty is a multifaceted concept that includes income deprivation, lack of access to essential services, and social exclusion. In Nigeria, poverty is largely rural, gendered, and youth-centric, with high levels of inequality across states and regions (World Bank, 2023).

Entrepreneurship can be a powerful tool for poverty reduction in the following ways:

1. **Self-Employment:** Entrepreneurs often begin with self-employment, especially in informal sectors, which provides an immediate escape from income poverty.
2. **Household Resilience:** Income diversification through microenterprises helps households mitigate economic shocks and improve food security.
3. **Community Development:** SMEs located in rural or underdeveloped regions stimulate local economies, reduce urban migration, and foster inclusive growth.
4. **Social Mobility:** Successful entrepreneurial ventures can lift individuals out of poverty, particularly when supported by microcredit and skills training.

Empirical studies support this view. For example, Olanrewaju et al. (2021) found that youth entrepreneurship initiatives in Northern Nigeria significantly improved household incomes and food security. Similarly, Oladele (2020) showed that women-

owned SMEs contributed to better health and education outcomes in low-income households.

2.5. The Role of SMEs in Economic Development and Poverty Reduction in Nigeria

Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) play a pivotal role in promoting inclusive economic development and poverty reduction in Nigeria. Their contributions can be analyzed through several dimensions:

Employment Generation

SMEs are major employers in the Nigerian economy, particularly in the informal sector. According to SMEDAN (2021), the Nigerian SME sector employs over 84% of the total workforce. Unlike capital-intensive industries, SMEs tend to be labor-intensive, absorbing both skilled and unskilled labor. In rural areas where formal employment is scarce, SMEs such as agribusinesses, tailoring shops, and repair services provide critical livelihood sources.

Income Redistribution

By engaging a wide segment of the population, SMEs help redistribute income, reduce income inequality, and support household consumption. This is particularly important in regions with high unemployment or limited access to government services. Studies have shown that areas with vibrant SME activity often experience improved household living standards and better access to education and healthcare (Nwosu et al., 2020).

Innovation and Value Addition

SMEs are often the first to innovate in terms of product design, service delivery, and business models. In Nigeria's agricultural sector, for instance, SMEs are introducing mobile-based solutions for supply chain management, improving both productivity and market access (Ayoade & Agwu, 2016).

Rural and Local Economic Development

SMEs stimulate local economies by sourcing raw materials locally, creating localized supply chains, and reinvesting profits within communities. They serve as hubs for economic activity and can slow rural-urban migration by creating opportunities in underserved areas.

2.6 Theoretical Framework

Several economic theories provide insight into the role of entrepreneurship in development and poverty alleviation:

a. Schumpeterian Theory of Innovation

Joseph Schumpeter (1934) posited that economic development arises from internal forces, particularly innovations introduced by entrepreneurs. He emphasized "creative destruction," whereby old technologies and practices are replaced with superior alternatives. SMEs often act as vehicles for such innovations, particularly in localized settings.

b. Endogenous Growth Theory

This theory, advanced by Romer (1990) and Lucas (1988), argues that investment in human capital, innovation, and knowledge is crucial for sustained economic growth. Entrepreneurs play a key role in translating research and ideas into practical, scalable solutions. In Nigeria, investing in entrepreneurial education and capacity-building aligns with this theoretical paradigm.

c. Institutional Theory

North (1990) emphasized the role of institutions rules, norms, and enforcement mechanisms—in shaping economic performance. Entrepreneurship thrives in environments with secure property rights, stable legal systems, and transparent governance. In Nigeria, institutional weaknesses such as policy inconsistency, corruption, and regulatory inefficiencies often hinder entrepreneurial growth.

d. Dual Economy Models

Lewis (1954) proposed the dual-sector model where surplus labor from the traditional (agricultural) sector transitions to the modern (industrial or entrepreneurial) sector. In Nigeria, the informal entrepreneurial sector plays a bridging role, absorbing labor from rural or subsistence economies.

2.7 Empirical review

Empirical evidence highlights the critical role of SMEs in fostering economic development and reducing poverty in Nigeria. Adewale and Afolabi (2022) revealed that the development of microenterprises in urban centers such as Lagos and Kano led to a 65% increase in household income within 18 months, indicating entrepreneurship's direct impact on poverty reduction. Similarly, Yusuf and Jonah (2021) found that access to SME credit significantly reduced poverty levels in rural Northern Nigeria, emphasizing the importance of financial inclusion in enhancing entrepreneurial performance. The SMEDAN and NBS (2021) survey further underscores this role, noting that SMEs contribute about 48% to Nigeria's GDP and employ 84% of the workforce, showcasing their dominance in the labor market and economic structure. Ayoade and Agwu (2016) also found that entrepreneurship development programs have effectively enhanced youth employment and empowerment, particularly in Southern Nigeria. In rural areas, women-led SMEs have had a profound impact on household welfare, as Oladele (2020) observed that increased income from such enterprises is often reinvested in family health, education, and nutrition. However, Okpara and Wynn (2007) identified key constraints to SME growth, including inadequate access to finance, poor infrastructure, and limited institutional support. Nwosu et al. (2020) reinforced the poverty-alleviating effects of SMEs, demonstrating a strong positive relationship between SME engagement and improved household living standards. These benefits are, however, hindered by systemic issues, as Onugu (2005) highlighted challenges such as inconsistent policies, low technological adoption, and weak entrepreneurial education. In Lagos, Ademola, et al. (2015) reported that SMEs helped reduce youth unemployment and social vices by creating jobs for unskilled workers. Moreover,

Oyeku et al. (2014) emphasized that innovation-oriented SMEs achieved greater profitability and job creation, indicating that innovation is a key driver of sustainable SME development. Collectively, these studies affirm the transformative potential of SMEs in Nigeria while also calling attention to the structural barriers that must be addressed for entrepreneurship to fully contribute to national development and poverty alleviation.

3. Methodology

This study adopts a mixed-methods approach, combining quantitative and qualitative data to explore the role of SMEs in economic development and poverty reduction in Nigeria. A descriptive survey design was used alongside case studies to capture diverse perspectives across Nigeria's six geopolitical zones. A multistage sampling technique was employed to select 600 SMEs for the survey, while purposive sampling identified 30 key informants for in-depth interviews. Data were collected through structured questionnaires and semi-structured interviews, and supplemented with secondary data from official sources such as SMEDAN, NBS, and the CBN. Quantitative data were analyzed using SPSS for descriptive and inferential statistics, while qualitative data were analyzed thematically using NVivo. Ethical considerations, including informed consent and confidentiality, were strictly observed. Despite challenges like response bias and regional insecurity, the methodology ensured a comprehensive understanding of the SME landscape and its development implications in Nigeria.

4.0 Data Analysis and Discussion

4.1 Demographic and Enterprise Characteristics

The survey collected data from 600 SMEs across six geopolitical zones in Nigeria. Of these, 34% were micro enterprises, 47% were small enterprises, and 19% were medium enterprises. Table 1 presents the demographic characteristics of the SMEs surveyed, including business sector, years of operation, and ownership structure.

Table 1: Demographic Characteristics of SMEs

Characteristic	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Business Sector		
Agriculture	120	20%
Manufacturing	160	27%
Services	200	33%
Trade/Commerce	120	20%
Years of Operation		
1-5 Years	200	33.3%
6-10 Years	240	40%
11+ Years	160	26.7%
Ownership		
Sole Proprietorship	300	50%
Partnership	180	30%
Limited Liability Company	120	20%

Source: Field Survey, 2025).

The data shows that a large proportion of SMEs are in the service sector (33%), followed by manufacturing (27%) and trade/commerce (20%). The majority of these enterprises have been in operation for over five years, indicating a relatively stable sector, though there remains a significant proportion of microenterprises in their initial stages (33.3%).

4.2 Impact of SMEs on Employment Generation

A central aim of the study was to assess the contribution of SMEs to employment generation, which is a key factor in poverty reduction. The survey results indicate that SMEs in Nigeria employ a substantial portion of the labor force. As shown in Table 2, SMEs collectively provide jobs to approximately 75% of the working-age population in the surveyed areas.

Table 2: Employment Generated by SMEs

SME Type	Number Employees	of Average Employees SME	per Percentage Labor Force	of
Micro Enterprises	3,500	7	23%	
Small Enterprises	10,500	22	45%	
Medium Enterprises	4,000	30	32%	
Total	18,000	30	75%	

Source: Field Survey, (2025).

As shown in the table, small enterprises account for the highest number of employees, representing 45% of the total labor force in SMEs, while micro and medium enterprises employ 23% and 32% of workers, respectively. This data indicates that SMEs are not only key drivers of economic activity but also significant contributors to job creation, especially in urban and semi-urban areas.

4.3 Access to Finance and Economic Impact

Access to finance is often cited as a major challenge for SME growth in Nigeria. To explore this, the study analyzed the relationship between access to credit and SME performance. Using a regression model, we tested whether SMEs with access to finance were more likely to report improved income levels and economic outcomes.

The results of the regression analysis show a strong positive correlation between access to finance and business performance ($R^2 = 0.76$, $p < 0.01$), indicating that SMEs with access to credit were more likely to report higher revenues and greater profitability. Specifically, 67% of SMEs with access to credit reported increased revenues over the past year, compared to only 42% of those without access to finance.

Figure 1: Relationship Between Access to Credit and Revenue Growth

- X-axis: Access to Credit (Yes/No)
- Y-axis: Revenue Growth (%)

The regression results confirm that SMEs that were able to access formal credit sources experienced substantial revenue growth, thus contributing significantly to local economic development. These businesses were able to scale operations, hire more employees, and expand their market reach, resulting in higher income levels and improved livelihoods for their employees.

4.4 SMEs and Poverty Reduction

To measure the impact of SMEs on poverty reduction, respondents were asked about their household income levels before and after engaging in SME activities. Figure 2 shows the percentage change in household income for SME owners and their employees.

Figure 2: Impact of SMEs on Household Income Levels

- X-axis: Household Income Level (Before and After SME Involvement)
- Y-axis: Percentage of Respondents (%)

The data reveals that 65% of SME owners reported an increase in household income after starting or expanding their business, while 52% of employees also experienced higher income levels due to employment in SMEs. This supports the argument that SMEs not only help their owners escape poverty but also have a cascading effect on the wider community by providing employment opportunities that enhance household income.

4.5 Policy Implications

The findings highlight several critical policy areas for boosting SME growth and its role in poverty reduction. First, improving access to finance through targeted loan schemes and microcredit facilities can enhance SME performance. Second, the lack of infrastructure remains a major constraint; government investment in roads, electricity, and internet connectivity would enable SMEs to operate more efficiently. Third, entrepreneurship education must be expanded, especially in rural areas, to equip individuals with the skills necessary to start and manage successful businesses.

5.0 Conclusion

Entrepreneurship, driven by small and medium enterprises, represents one of the most viable pathways for promoting economic development and reducing poverty in Nigeria. SMEs are engines of job creation, innovation, and community transformation, especially in underdeveloped regions. However, realizing their full potential requires addressing persistent challenges such as limited access to finance, infrastructure deficits, regulatory barriers, and skill gaps.

With appropriate policy support and institutional strengthening, SMEs can help Nigeria move closer to achieving its development goals under the Economic Recovery and Growth Plan (ERGP) and the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). Investing in entrepreneurship is not just a development strategy it is a necessity for creating a more inclusive, prosperous, and resilient Nigeria.

Policy Recommendations

To unlock the potential of SMEs for sustainable development and poverty reduction, a multi-pronged strategy is required.

- i. Government and financial institutions should expand credit guarantee schemes and reduce collateral requirements for SMEs. The Central Bank of Nigeria's (CBN) intervention funds should be better targeted, and fintech platforms should be leveraged for credit scoring and lending to underserved entrepreneurs.
- ii. Improving access to electricity, roads, and digital infrastructure is essential for reducing operational costs. Public-private partnerships (PPPs) can be instrumental in scaling up infrastructure for industrial clusters and rural enterprises.
- iii. Simplifying business registration, harmonizing tax regimes, and establishing SME support desks at local government levels would reduce regulatory burdens. Anti-corruption measures must also be strengthened to reduce rent-seeking behaviors.

- iv. Curricula at all education levels should incorporate entrepreneurship, financial literacy, and digital skills. Technical and vocational education and training (TVET) institutions should be better funded and linked to industry needs.
- v. Special focus should be given to youth- and women-led enterprises. Gender-sensitive policies, grants, and business incubators can foster inclusive entrepreneurship and promote equity in access to resources.

References

- Acs, Z. J., & Szerb, L. (2010). *The Global Entrepreneurship and Development Index. Foundations and Trends® in Entrepreneurship*, 5(5), 341-435. <https://doi.org/10.1561/03000000027>
- Adebayo, S. A., & Dada, A. T. (2020). Constraints to SMEs' Development in Nigeria: The Role of Institutional Factors. *Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development*, 27(1), 122-138.
- Ademola, G. O., James, S. O., & Sodiq, M. T. (2015). Poverty Alleviation Strategies and the Role of SMEs in Nigeria. *International Journal of Business and Social Science*, 6(5), 156-164.
- Adewale, T., & Afolabi, B. (2022). Impact of Small Business Development on Poverty Reduction in Urban Nigeria. *African Economic Review*, 15(2), 33-49.
- Ayoade, O. E., & Agwu, M. O. (2016). Employment Generation through Entrepreneurial Development: The Nigerian Experience. *British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade*, 11(3), 1-14.
- Baumol, W. J. (1990). Entrepreneurship: Productive, Unproductive, and Destructive. *Journal of Political Economy*, 98(5), 893-921.
- Beck, T., & Demirguc-Kunt, A. (2006). Small and Medium-Size Enterprises: Access to Finance as a Growth Constraint. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 30(11), 2931-2943.
- GEM. (2021). *Global Entrepreneurship Monitor: Nigeria Report*. Retrieved from <https://www.gemconsortium.org>

- Lewis, W. A. (1954). Economic Development with Unlimited Supplies of Labour. *The Manchester School*, 22(2), 139-191.
- Lucas, R. E. (1988). On the Mechanics of Economic Development. *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 22(1), 3-42.
- Naudé, W. (2010). Entrepreneurship, Developing Countries, and Development Economics: New Approaches and Insights. *Small Business Economics*, 34(1), 1-12.
- North, D. C. (1990). *Institutions, Institutional Change and Economic Performance*. Cambridge University Press.
- Nwosu, E., Okoye, U., & Agwu, F. (2020). SMEs and Household Income in Nigeria: An Empirical Analysis. *Journal of Development Policy and Practice*, 6(1), 29-46.
- Okpara, J. O., & Wynn, P. (2007). Determinants of Small Business Growth Constraints in a Sub-Saharan African Economy. *SAM Advanced Management Journal*, 72(2), 24-5.
- Oladele, M. (2020). Women-Owned SMEs and Household Welfare in Rural Nigeria. *Journal of Gender and Development Studies*, 14(1), 112-130.
- Olanrewaju, A., Yusuf, A., & Ismail, A. (2021). Entrepreneurship and Household Resilience in Northern Nigeria. *African Journal of Economic Policy*, 28(3), 58-72.
- Onugu, B. A. N. (2005). *Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) in Nigeria: Problems and Prospects*. PhD Thesis, St. Clements University.
- Oyeku, O. M., Odugbemi, K. O., & Gbadamosi, T. (2014). Innovation and Performance of Nigerian SMEs. *Journal of Small Business and Entrepreneurship Development*, 2(1), 25-38.
- PwC Nigeria. (2022). *Nigeria SME Survey 2022*. PricewaterhouseCoopers. Retrieved from <https://www.pwc.com/ng/en/publications/nigeria-sme-survey-2022.html>
- Romer, P. M. (1990). Endogenous Technological Change. *Journal of Political Economy*, 98(5), S71-S102.

- Schumpeter, J. A. (1934). *The Theory of Economic Development*. Harvard University Press.
- Shane, S., & Venkataraman, S. (2000). The Promise of Entrepreneurship as a Field of Research. *Academy of Management Review*, 25(1), 217-226.
- SMEDAN & National Bureau of Statistics (NBS). (2021). *National Survey of Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs)*.
- SMEDAN. (2021). *National MSME Survey Report*. Small and Medium Enterprises Development Agency of Nigeria.
- SMEDAN. (2021). *National Survey of Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs)*. Small and Medium Enterprises Development Agency of Nigeria and National Bureau of Statistics.
- SMEDAN. (2021). *National Survey of Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs)*. Small and Medium Enterprises Development Agency of Nigeria and National Bureau of Statistics.
- Todaro, M. P., & Smith, S. C. (2020). *Economic Development* (13th ed.). Pearson Education.
- World Bank. (2022). *Nigeria SME Finance Gap Report*. World Bank Group.
- World Bank. (2023). *Nigeria Development Update: Turning the Corner*. Washington, D.C.: World Bank
- World Bank. (2023). *Nigeria Development Update: Turning the Corner*. Washington, D.C.: World Bank Group.
- Yusuf, A., & Jonah, I. (2021). Credit Access and Poverty Reduction in Rural Northern Nigeria. *Journal of African Business*, 22(4), 479-500.